

01
02
03
04
05
06
07
08 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
09 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10 AT SEATTLE

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. CR05-109M
12)
13 Plaintiff,)
14) ORDER DENYING
15 v.) JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND TIME
16) TO FILE INFORMATION OR
17 TYRELL DOUGLASS HEAL,) INDICTMENT
18) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
19 Defendant.)
20 _____)

21 One April 6, 2005 the parties to the above-captioned matter filed a Joint Motion to
22 Extend Time to File Information or Indictment Under the Speedy Trial Act. Dkt. No. 9. The
23 motion argued that granting a continuance would satisfy the “ends of justice” by providing the
24 parties additional time to exchange discovery and negotiate a plea agreement. Dkt. No. 9.
25 Negotiation of a plea agreement is not a factor sufficient for this Court to find that granting a
26 continuance will satisfy the “ends of justice.” *United States v. Alejandro Ramirez-Cortez*, 213
F.3d 1149, 1155-56 (9th Cir. 2000) (citing *United States v. Perez-Reveles*, 715 F.2d 1348,
1352 (9th Cir. 1983)). The parties motion is therefore DENIED.

01 DATED this 7th day of April, 2005.

02 /s/ James P. Donohue
03 James P. Donohue
04 United States Magistrate Judge
05
06
07
08
09
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26